



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/542,973	04/04/2000	Mathew O. Anderson	LIT-PI-499	5656
7	. 07/30/2002			
W Gary Goodson			EXAMINER	
Bechtel BWXT Idaho LLC P O Box 1625 Idaho Falls, ID 83415-3899			PIZIALI, JEFFREY J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2673	
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/542,973	ANDERSON ET AL.				
· ·	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Jeff Piziali	2673				
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONFI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. 8 133)				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 J</u>	<u>une 2002</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>b</i> Disposition of Claims	≣x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 24-36</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 April 2000</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
_ `						
and the profits of the profits declared the factories.						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 						
Attachment(s)		with tell				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/542,973

Art Unit: 2673

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Applicant's election without traverse of invention I (claims 1-16 and 24-36) in Paper No.
 4 (filed June 5, 2002) is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 17-23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

 Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 4 (filed June 5, 2002).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-16, 24-34, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Diner et al. (US 5,182,641).

Regarding claim 1, Diner discloses a telepresence system for allowing an operator [Fig. 1, 19] to interact with a remote operating environment, the system comprising: input devices [Fig. 1, 21], wherein the input devices produce raw data representative of operator commands; a computer for receiving the raw data, the computer processing the raw data into a zone structure, wherein the zone structure is representative of the operator commands and is compatible with

Art Unit: 2673

plural telepresence devices [Fig. 1, 13'-17']; and a communication link [i.e. cables and busses], wherein the operator commands in the zone structure are received by the telepresence devices over the communication link such that the input devices are configured to control the telepresence devices, wherein the telepresence devices provide the operator with plural visual representations [Fig. 1, 27-30] of the operating environment (see Column 4, Line 15 - Column 5, Line 37).

Regarding claim 2, Diner discloses one or more of a headset, keyboard, mouse, and joystick (see Column 4, Line 53 - Column 5, Line 2).

Regarding claim 3, Diner discloses only one of the input devices is permitted to produce raw data at a time (see Column 5, Lines 13-24).

Regarding claim 4, Diner discloses one input device is capable of controlling plural telepresence devices (see Column 4, Line 53 - Column 5, Line 2).

Regarding claim 6, Diner discloses one or more of a stereo camera set, a zoom camera, a pan and tilt device, a slider bar, and a robot (see Column 9, Line 40 - Column 10, Line 8).

Regarding claim 7, Diner discloses the pan and tilt device is connected to the stereo camera set, and is capable of orienting it (see Column 9, Line 40 - Column 10, Line 8).

. Art Unit: 2673

Regarding claim 8, Diner discloses the pan and tilt device is connected to the zoom camera, and is capable of orienting it (see Column 9, Line 40 - Column 10, Line 8).

Regarding claim 9, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in the above rejection of claim 1, furthermore Diner discloses converting the raw data into a zone structure, wherein the zone structure is representative of movement commands; processing the zone structure with a device module for each identified telepresence device to obtain the movement commands for each identified telepresence device; and transmitting the movement commands to the identified telepresence devices (see Column 4, Line 15 - Column 5, Line 37).

Regarding claim 10, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in the above rejection of claim 2.

Regarding claim 11, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in the above rejection of claim 1.

Regarding claim 12, Diner discloses representing speeds and directions (see Column 4, Line 15 - Column 5, Line 37).

Regarding claim 13, Diner discloses the identified telepresence devices only respond to portions of the zone structure that correspond to the axes of the identified telepresence devices (see Column 4, Lines 41-52).

. Art Unit: 2673

Regarding claim 14, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in the above rejection of claim 1.

Regarding claim 15, Diner discloses executing the movement commands by the identified telepresence devices (see Column 4, Line 41 - Column 5, Line 24).

Regarding claim 16, Diner discloses computer executable instructions (see Column 4, Line 41 - Column 5, Line 24).

Regarding claim 24, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in the above rejection of claims 1 and 2.

Regarding claim 25, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in the above rejection of claim 6-8.

Regarding claim 26, Diner discloses providing stereo vision (see Column 7, Lines 32-44).

Regarding claim 27, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in the above rejection of claims 9 and 12.

_ Art Unit: 2673

Regarding claim 28, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in the above rejection of claim 4.

Regarding claim 29, Diner discloses a configuration module [Fig. 1, 18] (see Column 4, Line 53 - Column 5, Line 24).

Regarding claim 30, Diner discloses one or more views, wherein each view defines the telepresence devices controlled by a single input device (see Column 5, Line 25 - Column 6, Line 16).

Regarding claim 31, Diner discloses selecting a different view (see Column 5, Lines 25-37).

Regarding claim 32, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in the above rejection of claim 4.

Regarding claim 33, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in the above rejection of claim 1.

Regarding claim 34, Diner discloses providing depth perception (see Column 1, Lines 31-41).

Art Unit: 2673

Regarding claim 36, Diner discloses controlling the telepresence devices without the use of the operator's hands (see Column 4, Line 53 - Column 5, Line 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 5 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diner et al. (US 5,182,641).

Regarding claim 5, Diner does not expressly disclose a wireless communications link. However, the substitution of cable communication links with wireless ones was well known and commonly understood at the time of invention, in the art of data transmission/reception.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to use wireless communication links in place of Diner's cables (see Column 5, Lines 9-11), so as to alleviate the clutter of wires.

Regarding claim 35, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in the above rejection of claim 5.

Application/Control Number: 09/542,973

Art Unit: 2673

Conclusion

Page 8

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Robinson (US 4,751,570), Petelin et al. (US 5,436,542), Green (US 5,631,973),

Conway et al. (US 5,652,849), Li et al. (US 5,684,531), Corby Jr. et al. (US 5,706,195), Suzuki

et al. (US 6,002,995), Green (US 6,259,806), and Anderson et al. (US 6,148,100) are cited to

further evidence the state of the art pertaining to telepresence systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jeff Piziali whose telephone number is (703) 305-8382. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30AM - 3PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (703) 305-4938. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

July 26, 2002

BIPIN SHALWALA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMPLE:

TECHNOLOGY CENTER OF